----

Panelized Structures asserts that the insurance policy at issue uses Liberty Mutual entity names in such a fashion that Panelized Structures is at risk of suing and recovering against the wrong Liberty Mutual entity. As a result, Panelized Structures seeks to add additional Liberty Mutual entities to its counterclaim to ensure all proper parties are included in its suit. Panelized Structures asserts that if any Liberty Mutual entities are improperly named in the countercomplaint, counterdefendant Liberty Mutual can move to dismiss the improperly named party.

This court finds good cause to grant Panelized Structures motion seeking leave to amend.

## II. Motion to Submit a Revised Proposed Amended Pleading

Panelized Structures asserts that it is moving to submit a revised proposed amended pleading to conform its third-party complaint with this court's August 16, 2011, order. In that order, this court vacated its previous rulings granting third party defendant Arizona Labor Force, Inc.'s motion to dismiss the first two claims of the third party complaint. Panelized Structures asserts that its revised proposed pleading only reasserts those two claims, in light of the court's vacating order. However, Panelized Structures also redrafted the factual basis for its counterclaims against Liberty Mutual for the alleged breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

Liberty Mutual argues that the new allegations included in the alleged breach of good faith and fair dealing claim amount to a new claim of wrongful subrogation. Liberty Mutual contends that this claim seeks to hold Liberty Mutual liable for asserting statutory subrogation rights afforded to workers compensation carries under state law. Liberty Mutual posits that this court is without jurisdiction to hear disputes arising under the workmen's compensation laws of Nevada. For this reason, and because the revised proposed pleading was filed nearly one month after the deadline to amend pleadings, Liberty Mutual has moved to strike the pleading.

To the extent the revised proposed counterclaim reasserts claims one and two of the third-party complaint, this court does not find that the scheduling order bars the amendment. Any amendment to pleadings should have been filed by August 4, 2011. However, this court's order vacating its previous ruling was not issued until August 16, 2011, nearly two weeks *after* the deadline to amend. Accordingly, Panelized Structures delay in reasserting the first two claims in the

1

third-party complaint is excusable.

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Similarly, this court's order granting the timely filed motion to amend to add additional Liberty Mutual entities is only now being granted. As such, any failure to add these parties prior to August 4, 2011, is also excusable.

However, there is no similar excuse for Panelized Structures tardy redrafting of the factual basis for its claim of breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. As Panelized Structures admits in its response to the motion to strike, it sought to amend its pleadings to reassert the claims in its third-party complaint, and then "took this opportunity to clarify the factual basis for its counterclaims against Liberty Mutual. . ." Def.'s Opp. at 2.

Panelized Structures was not, however, at liberty to "take the opportunity to" amend its counterclaims as the August 4, 2011 deadline had already passed. Though the court's post-deadline orders provided a basis for amending as to additional parties and third-party claims, there was no similar basis for Panelized Structures to amend its good faith and fair dealing claims nearly a month after the deadline. Moreover, this court notes that Panelized Structures' motion to submit a revised pleading does not discuss the additional facts or allegations that Panelized Structures included in the pleading.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Panelized Structures' motion to amend its counterclaim to add additional parties (doc. #46) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Panelized Structues' motion to amend the amended pleading filed in connection with its motion to amend (doc. #49) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The pleading may be amended only with regards to reasserting claims in the third-party complaint that were previously dismissed by this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Liberty Mutual's motion strike (doc. #54) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. To the extent the revised proposed

Ī	Case 2:10-cv-01951-MMD-PAL Document 67 Filed 10/11/11 Page 4 of 4
1	amended pleading makes changes other than adding additional Liberty Mutual entities or reasserting
2	previously dismissed claims in the third-party complaint, the changes are stricken.
3	DATED October 11, 2011.
4	Xellus C. Mahan
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
n	- 4 -

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge